Soil properties control decomposition of soil organic carbon: Results from data-assimilation analysis
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ABSTRACT

Soil properties, such as clay content, are hypothesized to control decomposition of soil organic carbon (SOC). However, these hypotheses of soil property-C decomposition relationships have not been explicitly tested at large spatial scales. Here, we used a data-assimilation approach to evaluate the roles of soil properties and environmental factors in regulating decomposition of SOC. A three-pool (active, slow, and passive) C-cycling model was optimally fitted with 376 published laboratory incubation data from soils acquired from 73 sites with mean annual temperature ranging from −15 to 26 °C. Our results showed that soil physical and chemical properties regulated decomposition rates of the active and the slow C pools. Decomposition rates were lower for soils with high clay content, high field water holding capacity (WHC), and high C:N ratio. Multifactor regression and structural equation modeling (SEM) analyses showed that clay content was the most important variable in regulating decomposition of SOC. In contrast to the active and slow C pools, soil properties or environmental factors had little effect on the decomposition of the passive C pool. Our results show inverse soil property-C decomposition relationships and quantitatively evaluate the essential roles of soil texture (clay content) in controlling decomposition of SOC at large spatial scale. The results may help model development and projection of changes in terrestrial C sequestration in the future.

1. Introduction

Decomposition of soil organic matter (SOM) plays an essential role in terrestrial carbon (C) cycling (Trumbore, 1997; Knoblauch et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013). It facilitates the growth and life of the soil biota by providing energy from C compounds and nutrients in inorganic forms. Considerable effort has been aimed at understanding the variability of decomposition rate of soil organic C (SOC) among soil types and under different climatic conditions (e.g. Craine et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013). The requirement for an accurate accounting of this spatial variability is central to an accurate prediction of the amount of C released through this process. So far, however, it is still not satisfactorily known how SOC decomposition rates vary at a large spatial scale and which factors control SOM decomposition.

SOM consists of a continuum of components ranging from labile compounds that mineralize rapidly during the first stage of decomposition to more recalcitrant residues that accumulate during advanced stages of decomposition (Elberling et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2010a). It is generally agreed that SOC contains at least three identifiable C pools: the active, the slow (intermediate), and the passive pools (Parton et al., 1987; Trumbore, 1997; Luo et al. 2003). Much of the work in understanding and modeling soil C is the study of relative decomposition rates for various pools and their controlling factors. Empirical studies, including fractionation of SOM, soil respiration, laboratory incubations, and isotopic studies, have identified factors that regulate decomposition of SOC as (a) climatic factors, e.g. temperature, and water content (Thomsen et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2013); (b) soil properties, e.g. clay content (Schimel et al., 1994; Balesdent et al., 2000; Mtambanengwe et al. 2004), water holding capacity (WHC, Schjønning et al., 1999), C:Nitrogen (C:N) ratio (Enríquez et al., 1993; Schädel et al., 2013), specific surface area (SSA) of soil mineral particles (Saggar et al., 1996), etc.; and (c) geographical variables, e.g. latitude and altitude (Craine et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013).

Among all the factors, the primary role of soil texture in driving SOC decomposition has been well established in mineral soils at the small scale (e.g. site level). Decomposition of SOC is generally lower in soils with high clay concentrations (e.g. Schimel et al., 1985; Spain, 1990;...
as soil minerals can protect SOM from enzymatic decomposition (Baldock and Skjemstad, 2000; Six et al., 2002). Chemically, the strength of the interaction of the chemical structure of SOC with minerals and organic soil components defines the degree of protection of SOC (Baldock and Skjemstad, 2000; Six et al., 2002). Physically, a factor of soil texture, specific mineral surface area, and soil mineralogy defines the degree of protection of SOC (Baldock and Skjemstad, 2000; Six et al., 2002).

Empirical studies have undoubtedly improved our understanding of decomposition of SOC. These observations have also allowed for development and validation of models used to simulate SOM dynamics. The challenge for empirical studies lies in that no single, satisfactory method yet exists to separate SOC into components with intrinsic pool-specific decomposition rates (Trumbore, 1997). Given this difficulty, SOM models (e.g. CENTURY) have played an important role in simulating decomposition of SOC (e.g. Parton et al., 1987; McGill, 1996; Burke et al., 2003). The heterogeneity of SOC in most of these models is represented by conceptually defining multiple pools, typically three to five, with varying inherent decay rates, assuming first-order kinetics modified by climatic and edaphic reduction factors (Parton et al., 1987; McGill, 1996; Six et al., 2002; Luo et al., 2003). However, many SOM models are developed and tested using experimental results, often restricted to the ecosystem or site scale and difficult to extrapolate to the large spatial scales. With the increased use of models for regional and global applications, understanding the roles of these control variables played on SOC decomposition becomes crucial.

The relationship between decomposition of SOC and various potential controlling factors remains unresolved and has been addressed differently in leading climate-carbon models (Burke et al., 2003). The unknowns in this issue may result in predictions of feedbacks to climate change from soil C uncertain (Friedlingstein et al., 2014). In this study, we developed a three-pool SOM decomposition model with C transfers between pools (active, slow, and passive, Fig. S1) and applied the techniques of data assimilation (also known as deconvolution analysis) to estimate decomposition rates of the active, the slow, and the passive SOC pools with laboratory soil incubation data sets. Laboratory incubation of soil samples, where plant roots are excluded, allows observation of the CO2 evolved from decomposition alone (Craine et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013). We aimed to examine the roles of different factors that play in decomposition of SOC and identify the most important controlling factors at a large spatial scale.

2. Methods

2.1. Incubation datasets

The incubation datasets used in this analysis were obtained from researchers (Rey et al., 2008; Rey and Jarvis, 2006; Craine et al., 2010; Haddix et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2013) and ten datasets were extracted...
with more than one C pool (Trumbore 1997; Xu et al., 2010b). In this study, a three-pool decomposition model, consisting of fast, slow, and passive pools and C transfers between pools, was developed to separate total measured CO₂ production from the incubation experiments into pool-specific decay rates using deconvolution analysis (Fig. S1, Liang et al., 2015). The dynamics of soil C pool follows a first-order differential equation as described in the CENTURY and the Terrestrial ECOSystem (TECO) models (Parton et al., 1987; Luo et al., 2003) and can be expressed by a matrix equation (Luo and Weng, 2011) as (Eq. (1)):

$$\frac{dP(t)}{dt} = AKP(t)$$

(1)

Where A and K are matrices as follows:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & f_{12} & f_{13} \\ f_{21} & -1 & 0 \\ f_{31} & f_{32} & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$K = \text{diag}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$P(t) = (P_1(t) P_2(t) P_3(t))^T$ is a $3 \times 1$ vector used to describe soil C pool sizes. Matrix $A$ represents C transfers between individual C pools. $f_{ij}$ is C transfer coefficient, representing the fraction of the C entering $i$th (row) pool from $j$th (column) pool. $K$ is a $3 \times 3$ diagonal matrix that denotes decay rates of C pools. The observed total CO₂ efflux in the three-pool model is the sum of pool-specific decomposition rates derived from a fast, a slow, and a passive C pools.

2.3. Data assimilation

Bayesian probabilistic inversion (Eq. (2)) was used to estimate parameters of the C dynamics model in this study (Xu et al., 2006):

$$P(\theta | Z) \propto P(Z | \theta) P(\theta),$$

(2)

Where posterior probability density function (PDF) $P(Z | \theta)$ of model parameters ($\theta$) can be obtained from prior knowledge of parameters represented by a prior probability distribution $P(\theta)$ and information in the datasets represented by a likelihood function $P(Z | \theta)$. The prior PDF was specified as the uniform distributions over specific parameter ranges that were estimated according to previous studies (Trumbore, 1997; Craine et al., 2010). Ranges were: $1–50$ for active pool, $0.1–3$ for slow pool, and $1 \times 10^{-4}–0.1$ for passive pool in mg g⁻¹ C d⁻¹. The likelihood function $P(Z | \theta)$ was calculated with the assumption that errors between observed and modeled values were independently distributed according to Eq. (3):

$$P(Z | \theta) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [Z_i(t) - X_i(t)]^2 \right\}$$

(3)

where $Z_i(t)$ and $X_i(t)$ are the observed and modeled cumulative decay rates, respectively, and $\sigma_i(t)$ is the standard deviation of measurements.

The probabilistic inversion was carried on using the Metropolis–Hastings (M–H) algorithm to construct posterior PDFs of parameters. The M–H algorithm samples were random variables in high-dimension posterior PDFs in the parameter space via a sampling procedure based on Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) theorems (Metropolis et al., 1953; Hastings, 1970). Briefly, the M–H algorithm was run by repeating two steps: a proposing step and a moving step (Xu et al., 2006). In the proposing step, a new point $\theta^{new}$ is generated based on the previously
accepted point $\theta^{\text{old}}$ with a proposal distribution $P(\theta^{\text{new}}|\theta^{\text{old}})$:

$$p^{\text{new}} = p^{\text{old}} + r(\theta_{\text{max}} - \theta_{\text{min}})/D$$

(4)

where $\theta_{\text{max}}$ and $\theta_{\text{min}}$ are the maximum and minimum values in the prior range of the given parameter, $d$ is a random variable between $-0.5$ and $0.5$ with a uniform distribution, and $D$ is used to control the proposing step size and was set to $10$ in the current study. In the moving step, the new point $\theta^{\text{new}}$ is tested against the Metropolis criteria (Xu et al., 2006) to examine if it should be accepted or rejected. The M–H algorithm was run $500,000$ times for each lab incubation data. Accepted parameter values were then used to construct posterior PDFs (Fig. 2).

2.4. Statistical analysis

We found that incubation temperatures significantly affected decomposition rates of the active and the slow C pools (all $P < 0.001$, Fig. 3). To exclude the impact of incubation temperatures, we normalized the decomposition rates by using relationships between decomposition rates and incubation temperatures (Fig. 3a–b, equations associated with gray circles and gray lines) before further analyzing the data. Decomposition rates were normalized to a reference temperature of $15$ °C by calculating temperature differences between actual incubation temperatures and $15$ °C. We then analyzed the relationships of the normalized decomposition rates with soil properties (including soil sampling depth, bulk density, field water holding capacity, clay content, C:N ratio, and pH values) and environmental factors (including latitude, longitude, mean annual temperature, and mean annual precipitation). Modeled decomposition rates of the passive C pools without normalization were used in analyses because they were not significantly influenced by incubation temperatures (Fig. 3c). We conducted stepwise multiple regression analysis to explore the relationships between decomposition rates and the variables mentioned above (SPSS 17.0 for windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Additionally, structural equation modeling (SEM) was applied to quantify direct and indirect impacts of environmental variables and soil properties on decomposition rates of SOC pools. Data were fitted to the model using the maximum likelihood estimation method. Adequate model fit was indicated by a non-significant $\chi^2$ test ($P > 0.05$). SEM analysis was performed using AMOS 21.0 software (IBM, SPSS, Armonk, NY, USA).

3. Results

Decomposition rates of the active and the slow C pools were mostly constrained, but not for the passive C pool (Fig. 2). This is because in the measured CO$_2$ only a very small proportion came from the decomposition of the passive C pool, making it difficult to constrain the parameter with a weak signal. In general, decomposition rates of different SOC pools varied greatly, exhibiting normal distributions with a mean turnover of $0.2$, $5$, and $1369$ yr for the active, the slow, and the passive SOC pools, respectively (Fig. 4a–c). The strong relationship between the observed and the modeled CO$_2$ fluxes ($r^2$) indicate that the model performed well (Figs. 2d and 4d).

Linear regression analyses showed that decomposition of the active and the slow organic C pools in soils was regulated by soil physical and chemical properties (all $P < 0.05$). High clay content (Fig. 5a–b), field water holding capacity (WHC, Fig. 6a–b), and C:N ratio (Fig. 7a–b) all reduced decomposition rates. On the other hand, decomposition of the passive C pool was not significantly affected by either the environmental factors or soil properties mentioned above (all $P > 0.05$, Figs. 5c, 6c, and 7c) except its negative relationship with binned C:N ratio ($P < 0.05$, Fig. 7c). Multiple regressions showed clay and WHC were important in regulating decomposition of the active SOC pool and clay and C:N ratio governed decomposition of the slow SOC pool (Table 1). Soil pH values did not significantly impact SOC decomposition of different pools (all $P > 0.05$).

Final SEM adequately fitted the data of the interactive networks of environmental factors and soil properties in regulating decomposition of the active ($\chi^2 = 11.12, df = 6, P = 0.10$, Fig. 8a) and the slow ($\chi^2 = 11.35, df = 6, P = 0.10$, Fig. 8b) SOC pools. The models explained...
38% and 40% variation in decomposition of the active and the slow pools, respectively. Clay content directly influenced SOC decomposition. Multifactor regression and SEM analyses showed that clay was the most important variable in controlling decomposition of SOC (Table 1, Fig. 8).

4. Discussion

Various soil physical and chemical properties (e.g. clay content and C:N ratio) have been reported to govern organic matter decomposition rates by previous studies (e.g. Enríquez et al., 1993; Balesdent et al., 2000; Mtambanengwe et al., 2004; Schädel et al., 2013). However, few studies have examined the intrinsic decay rates of the active, the slow, and the passive SOC pools and their controlling factors at a large spatial scale given the difficulties of directly estimating pool-specific decomposition rates. Results of our data assimilation demonstrated that C decomposition was negatively regulated by soil properties, including clay content, WHC, and C:N ratio. The inverse relationships are discussed below in detail.

4.1. Clay–C decomposition relationship

Soil texture may control SOC decomposition, and its content may give an idea of the degree of protection conferred by a particular soil (Krull et al., 2001; Six et al., 2002). In general, clay content is assumed to be positively correlated with preservation of SOC (Schimel et al., 1985; Spain, 1990; Giardina et al., 2001). In line with many previous empirical studies (e.g. Schimel et al., 1994; Balesdent et al., 2000; Mtambanengwe et al., 2004), we found that clay content negatively regulated decomposition rates of the SOC pools (all P < 0.01, Fig. 5a–b, Table 1). For example, some studies have shown that increasing clay content generally results in higher organic C (OC) in the occluded particulate organic matter (POM, active pool, Kölbl and Kögel-Knabner, 2004). High soil clay content promotes the conservation of POM with a low degree of alteration (Kölbl and Kögel-Knabner, 2004). Two mechanisms, the formation of complexes between metal ions associated with large clay surface area and substrate accessibility by microbes, (Krull et al., 2001; Mtambanengwe et al., 2004), could explain the reduced decomposition rates with increasing clay content.

First, size and surface area of clay mineral particles matter. In terms of soil texture, clay particles are associated with small diameters and thus larger surface areas. A decline in SOC decomposition rates with decreasing particle size has been commonly observed (Giardina et al., 2001). In addition, clay, with a permanent negative surface charge, has an advantage of attracting precipitated amorphous Fe and Al oxides on its large surface and then, absorbing negative charged organic matter through cation bridging (Sollins et al., 1996; Krull et al., 2001). Second,
pore size distribution matters. Microbes are assumed to mainly live in pores of a certain size (Mtambanengwe et al., 2004). Pore size distribution of a soil thus determines the accessibility of organic matter to decomposer organisms (Krull et al., 2001). With increasing clay content, the relative contribution of small pores to the total porosity increases, and decomposition of SOC decreases due to the exclusion of decomposer organisms increases (Mtambanengwe et al., 2004). Our results provide direct evidence to support the theory that decomposition of SOM is controlled by soil texture at a large spatial scale.

On the other hand, the importance of clay content on SOC decomposition could vary across soils with different clay mineralogy (quantity vs. quality) (Spain, 1990; Percival et al., 2000). The physical and chemical properties of a particular clay mineral depend on its structure and composition (Saggard et al., 1996; Schjønning et al., 1999). Structurally, arrangement of silicon-oxygen sheet and aluminum-oxygen sheet in clays affect soil porosity (space available for water and air) and therefore, decomposition of SOC. On the clay composition, studies have shown relatively weak correlations between SOC and clay content because of the influence of sesquioxides (Saiz et al., 2012) and pyrophosphate-extractable AI and allophone (Percival et al., 2000). In support of our results, however, SOC in general increases linearly with clay content at regional and global scales due to the role of clay in retention of organic matter (Parton et al., 1993; Schimel et al., 1994; Oades, 1998).

4.2. WHC–C decomposition relationship

The role WHC played in influencing SOC decomposition is dependent on soil texture. Soil texture impacts the physical characteristics of soil, such as WHC (Schjønning et al., 1999; Giardina et al., 2001; Krull et al., 2001). The higher the percentage of clay sized particles, the higher the WHC. Clay has a much larger surface area, which allows soils to hold a greater quantity of water. In accordance with the study by Schjønning et al. (1999), our results showed that decomposition rates of the active and the slow SOC pools significantly decreased with increasing WHC (all \( P < 0.05, \) Fig. 6). WHC governs microbial decomposition of SOC through its influences on soil water availability and the aerated status. With increasing WHC/clay content, soils hold much more water, resulting in less oxygen supply and thus hinder processes of decomposer organisms. The results reported here thereby suggest that decomposition of SOC is regulated by WHC as determined by soil texture.

4.3. C:N–C decomposition relationship

Another aspect of soil properties that affects the rate of SOC decomposition is C:N ratio that directly impacts decomposer organisms (Enríquez et al., 1993). As the C:N ratio increased, the decomposition rates of the active and the slow SOC pools greatly decreased (all \( P < 0.05, \) Fig. 7). N is of essential importance for microbial growth and therefore the breakdown of organic matter. Decay of organic matter can be delayed if N availability (high C:N ratio) in soils is low. Although WHC and C:N ratio entered into model 2 in regulating decomposition of the active and the slow SOC pools, respectively, SEM analysis showed that their impacts on SOC decomposition was much less in comparison to clay content (Table 1, Fig. 8). Our results generally suggest that clay content in soils is probably the most important factor that directly governs SOC stabilization against biological attack.

In addition, our results also showed that decomposition of the active SOC pools decreased with increasing latitude, while increasing with increasing mean annual temperature and precipitation (all \( P < 0.05, \) Fig. 9), likely resulted from geographical differences in temperature and precipitation. Generally, low-latitude soils are associated with high annual temperature and precipitation. As a result, decomposition of SOC was greatest in tropical regions. On the other hand, decomposition of the passive SOC pool was not affected by either soil properties or environmental factors (Figs. 5c, 6c, and 7c). Although possible, this also might be because the incubation data did not provide enough information to allow modeling of decay rates of the passive SOC pool (Fig. 2c). CO2 efflux was dominated by the active pool at the beginning and then by the slow pool during the incubation (Wang et al., 2013).

The limitation of this study is the scarcity of tropical-based studies. There are no tropical grasslands or savannas included in the dataset (Fig. 1). A two-peak distribution histogram both for the active and the slow pools may also result from the scarcity of incubation studies in the tropics (Fig. 4a–b), where the turnover rate of SOC is usually high (Wick and Tiessen, 2008). Tropical forests, grasslands, and savannas are quite complex systems, generally occurring on heavily weathered

---

**Table 1**

Results of multi-regression analysis of decomposition of SOC with soil properties and environmental factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Regression</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( r^2 )</th>
<th>( P )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>k_1</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>( k_1 = -0.44 ) clay + 26.83</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Clay, WHC</td>
<td>( k_2 = -0.58 ) clay - 9.44 WHC + 35.61</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_1</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>( k_1 = -0.02 ) clay + 0.92</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Clay, C:N</td>
<td>( k_2 = -0.02 ) clay + 0.2 C:N + 1.24</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) decomposition rates of the active and the slow C pools, respectively; WHC, water holding capacity. Variables used in the regression analysis: latitude, mean annual temperature, mean annual precipitation, WHC, C:N ratio and clay content.
Moreover, grassland-dominated tropical environments are often subjected to recurrent disturbances, such as fires, which may impact the passive and even the slow carbon pool. The pattern of soil properties in controlling SOC decomposition discussed above may thus change to some extent if tropical systems were included.

To conclude, this present study could improve our understanding of decomposition of SOC. To our knowledge, it is unique because we show inverse soil property-C decomposition relationships at a large spatial scale and moreover, we quantitatively evaluate the essential role of soil texture (clay content) in controlling decomposition of SOC. Our study indicates a general pattern of SOC decomposition at large scales, which not only extends the results of small-scale studies but also is very useful for model development and projection of changes in terrestrial C sequestration in the future.
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